

11 San Francisco, California  
Thursday, January 25, 2024

13 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS OF THE OFFICIAL ELECTRONIC SOUND  
RECORDING 1:47 - 2:09 = 22 MINUTES

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiffs:

Joseph Saveri Law Firm, LLP  
601 California Street  
Suite 1000  
San Francisco, California  
94108

BY: JOSEPH R. SAVERI, ESQ.

Cafferty Clobes Meriwether  
& Sprengel, LLP  
205 North Monroe Street  
Media, Pennsylvania 19063  
BRYAN CLOBES, ESQ.

BY: BRYAN CLOBES, ESQ.

25 (APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE)

1 APPEARANCES: (Cont'd.)

2 For Plaintiffs:

3 DiCello Levitt, LLP  
4 4747 Executive Drive  
5 Suite 240  
6 San Diego, California 92121  
7 BY: BRIAN O. O'MARA, ESQ.

8 DiCello Levitt, LLP  
9 Ten North Dearborn Street  
10 Sixth Floor  
11 Chicago, Illinois 60602  
12 BY: AMY KELLER, ESQ.

13 For Defendant:

14 Cooley, LLP  
15 1333 2nd Street, Suite 400  
16 Santa Monica, California 90401  
17 BY: BOBBY A. GHAJAR, ESQ.

18 Cooley, LLP  
19 3 Embarcadero Center  
20 20th Floor  
21 San Francisco, California  
22 94111  
23 BY: KATHLEEN R. HARTNETT, ESQ.

24 Transcribed by:

25 Echo Reporting, Inc.  
Contracted Court Reporter/  
Transcriber  
echoreporting@yahoo.com

1 Thursday, January 25, 2024

1:47 p.m.

2 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

3 --oOo--

4 THE CLERK: Now calling Civil Cases 23-3417,  
5 Kadrey, et al. versus Meta Platforms, Inc., and 23-6663,  
6 Huckabee, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc., et al.

7 Will counsel please state your appearances for the  
8 record, starting with Plaintiffs for Kadrey.

9 MR. SAVERI (via Zoom): Good afternoon, your  
10 Honor. Joseph Saveri on behalf of Plaintiff Kadrey.

11 THE COURT: Hi.

12 MR. SAVERI: Hi.

13 MR. CLOBES (via Zoom): Good afternoon, your  
14 Honor. Bryan Clobes from Caffertey Clobes, also on behalf  
15 of the Plaintiffs in Kadrey.

16 THE COURT: Hi.

17 MS. KELLER (via Zoom): Good afternoon, your  
18 Honor. Amy Keller on behalf of the Plaintiffs in the  
19 Huckabee matter.

20 THE COURT: Hi. Anyone else from the Plaintiffs'  
21 side?

22 MS. KELLER: Your Honor, I am joined by my partner  
23 Brian O'Mara from our San Diego office, also on behalf of  
24 the Huckabee Plaintiffs.

25 THE COURT: Hi.

1                   MR. GHAJAR (via Zoom): Good afternoon, your  
2 Honor. Bobby Ghajar from Cooley on behalf of Defendant.

3                   THE COURT: Hi, Mr. Ghajar.

4                   MS. HARTNETT (via Zoom): Kathleen Hartnett also  
5 on behalf of Defendant.

6                   THE COURT: Hi.

7                   MS. HARTNETT: Hi.

8                   THE COURT: Okay. So, what's -- what's the  
9 latest? I -- I saw a stipulation. I didn't have a chance  
10 to read it carefully, but it looked like maybe you were --  
11 you were saying that you wanted -- you just wanted a delay  
12 in the deadline to respond to the complaint while the -- is  
13 that what it was, while the -- while the Plaintiffs worked  
14 out their business, figured out how to proceed?

15                  MR. GHAJAR: I'll address that, your Honor. A lot  
16 has happened since we were last before the Court. We put in  
17 the schedule. You entered an order agreeing with the  
18 schedule. The related cases motion came in. You related  
19 the cases, and then last night, with Ms. Keller and her team  
20 and the -- and the rest of the Plaintiffs and the Defendant,  
21 put in a stipulation essentially saying what you just said,  
22 and that is there's a deadline in the Huckabee matter of  
23 Monday for Meta to respond to the complaint. The parties  
24 agree that we need the Plaintiffs to figure out what they  
25 want to do, are they going to amend, are they going to

1 conform their complaint to the Kadrey complaint.

2 Just this afternoon -- you probably haven't seen it yet  
3 -- another stipulation came in, and we understand that the  
4 Huckabee Plaintiffs have dismissed Microsoft from the case.

5 So, now --

6 THE COURT: Okay.

7 MR. GHAJAR: -- Meta's going to be the only  
8 Defendant. So, that's the update from -- from our  
9 perspective.

10 THE COURT: Okay. Sorry, Mr. Saveri. You were  
11 going to say something?

12 MR. SAVERI: Your Honor, just to follow up on Mr.  
13 Ghajar. So, we would -- we -- based on the instruction from  
14 the Court at the last hearing, we've agreed to a stipulation  
15 with the Defendant. The Court has entered that stipulation.  
16 We've had --

17 THE COURT: Which -- which stipulation are you  
18 talking about?

19 MR. SAVERI: It's the stipulated about the  
20 schedule, your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Okay.

22 MR. SAVERI: Which the Court has -- we negotiated  
23 that following your Court's -- following your Honor's  
24 instructions. We now have a -- a schedule. At the same  
25 time, we on the Plaintiffs' side have -- have gotten

1 together, worked together and basically come up with a  
2 program where I think it -- I can represent that all the  
3 Plaintiffs will stand on the current pleadings without  
4 amendment for the cases at issue. We don't want to have  
5 any unnecessary duplication of things that have already  
6 happened. And, so, I think we've done on the Plaintiffs'  
7 side what we need to do to organize ourselves and -- and  
8 move forward, consistent with the work that's been done in  
9 the past in the Kadrey case.

10 And, with respect to the lead counsel application, Mr.  
11 Clobes and Ms. Keller can speak to it, but we all have an  
12 agreement on that as well.

13 THE COURT: Okay. But where -- where does that  
14 leave -- I mean, right now we have two complaints, and it's  
15 -- I'm gathering it's -- they're -- they're close to  
16 identical. I haven't read the second complaint, the  
17 Huckabee complaint yet. I'd have -- to the extent it's the  
18 same case, do we need to decide whether the cases are  
19 consolidated -- should be consolidated?

20 MR. SAVERI: I think -- I think there are a couple  
21 of ways of handling this. I think in sum and substance they  
22 will -- I think two things will happen. I think Mr. -- Mr.  
23 Huckabee's claim will be dismissed. Ms. Terkeurst -- I  
24 don't know how to pronounce her name. I've just read it --  
25 would like to be a class representative. And, so, we would

1 proceed in that fashion. It could be done by consolidation  
2 or it could be done by some other means where one of the  
3 Plaintiffs dismisses their claims under Rule 42 with --  
4 without prejudice and the other remaining Plaintiff could  
5 come forward and we could reach some agreement with the  
6 Defendants that that would be an additional named class  
7 representative. We wouldn't have to change the pleadings in  
8 that event, and we would just proceed in that fashion.

9 I think we -- I think we could get to the same point  
10 there. I think there are a couple of ways of doing. Part  
11 of it is what the Court would prefer in -- in terms of its  
12 docket and managing the -- you know, the case numbers that  
13 are open. We can do it in a variety of ways I guess is what  
14 I'm saying.

15 MS. KELLER: Your Honor, if I may. It's Amy  
16 Keller on behalf of the Huckabee Plaintiffs. So, one of the  
17 things that we had discussed with counsel in the Kadrey case  
18 was to sort of streamline things so that we don't have to  
19 make extra work for the Court or go through duplication of  
20 efforts on, you know, our complaint because we've already --  
21 the Kadrey case has already gone through testing the  
22 pleadings.

23 So, consistent with what Mr. Saveri has said, Mr.  
24 Huckabee, the Relevate Group, David Kinnaman, Tsh  
25 Oxenreider, and John Blase, will be voluntarily dismissing

1 their claims as to Meta. They've already voluntarily  
2 dismissed their claims as to Microsoft to clean things up to  
3 allow the cases to proceed in a coordinated fashion. And,  
4 yes, Lysa Terkeurst -- or Lysa Terkeurst would like to  
5 proceed as a class representative with the Kadrey case, and  
6 we can achieve that by a line amendment or however the Court  
7 thinks would be most efficient in order to maintain the  
8 deadlines that have already been entered by the Court.

9                   THE COURT: Yeah. I mean, why not just dismiss  
10 the Huckabee -- is it Huckabee?

11                   MS. KELLER: Huckabee, yes.

12                   THE COURT: Why not just dismiss the Huckabee  
13 action and then I assume everybody would stipulate to adding  
14 that one Plaintiff as a named Plaintiff in the Kadrey  
15 action. Isn't that -- isn't that the easiest way to do it?

16                   MS. KELLER: I -- I believe so, your Honor, yes.  
17 And -- and our hope is that the Defendants also agree that  
18 such stipulation would be appropriate and would agree to  
19 one.

20                   MR. SAVERI: And, your Honor, Joseph Saveri. From  
21 our perspective, that makes sense.

22                   THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Ghajar, any -- any issues  
23 with that?

24                   (Pause.)

25                   THE COURT: You're mute.

1                   MR. GHAJAR: Sorry about that. I'd like to  
2 discuss it with my client, but it makes sense to me. That  
3 sounds like the most efficient way to add the one Plaintiff.  
4 This is the first time we're hearing that the other class  
5 Plaintiffs in Huckabee do not wish to be part of the case.  
6 So, let us process that, but I think that sounds like an  
7 orderly way to accomplish what Plaintiffs are saying is  
8 their objective.

9                   THE COURT: Okay. And then -- and, so, we -- we  
10 have already set a schedule, right?

11                  MR. GHAJAR: Yes.

12                  THE COURT: And what -- what did we set it -- did  
13 we set it out -- I can't remember. Did we decide to do --  
14 yeah, we decided to do cross-motions for summary judgment  
15 first.

16                  MR. GHAJAR: Yes, your Honor.

17                  THE COURT: Yeah. And, so, we set a schedule up  
18 till then as I recall.

19                  MR. GHAJAR: That's correct.

20                  MR. SAVERI: That's correct, your Honor. And then  
21 leading up to it, we set some interim milestones for  
22 discovery, completion of discovery, and then -- and then the  
23 -- and then a schedule for the briefs, working around the  
24 holiday schedule. And then I believe your Honor set a  
25 hearing on the motions for March 6th.

1           THE COURT: Yeah, that sounds right.

2           MR. SAVERI: Yes.

3           THE COURT: Okay. And then -- and then you've  
4 submitted a proposed protective order, and I'll -- I'll --  
5 I'll get to that promptly so that you can get to exchanging  
6 secret material promptly.

7           MR. SAVERI: The protective order has been  
8 submitted to the -- to the Magistrate Judge, and the --

9           THE COURT: Oh, okay.

10          MR. SAVERI: And, so, you -- you assigned or  
11 referred the discovery matters to the Magistrate Judge, and  
12 we -- we didn't agree on it. There was competing proposals  
13 submitted at which the -- the Magistrate Judge resolved.

14          THE COURT: Oh, okay. Great. All right. So,  
15 then what that means is I don't have to do anything now.

16          MR. SAVERI: Don't hold us to that, your Honor,  
17 but I think that's -- I think that's an accurate statement  
18 of where we are.

19          THE COURT: Okay. Well, let me know if you all  
20 need a -- so, I guess I'll -- the motion to -- the motion to  
21 appoint interim lead counsel will just be denied as moot,  
22 and --

23          MR. SAVERI: Your Honor, excuse me. We -- that --  
24 that motion is -- is pending. We -- we are seeking to be  
25 appointed the lead counsel, sole lead counsel. We have the

1 support of all the Plaintiffs. And, so --

2 THE COURT: Oh, I see.

3 MR. SAVERI: We're --

4 THE COURT: Fine. Then -- then let's discuss that  
5 for a minute. What's the point of appointing interim lead  
6 counsel? I mean, I have to -- you know, I would have to  
7 conduct an analysis of -- you know, on things, you know,  
8 like adequacy before making an appointment of that nature.

9 I mean, we haven't had much interaction in this case. We --  
10 we know that, you know, there were some real problems with  
11 the complaint that we talked about at the motion to dismiss  
12 hearing and some real problems with the argument. You know,  
13 so I would have to go -- you know, I would have to do a lot  
14 more work to determine, you know, whether -- you know, to  
15 assess, you know, questions like adequacy. Why should --  
16 why is that needed? Why do I need to do that here? What's  
17 -- what's the harm of just proceeding like we proceed in  
18 most class actions, which is just we have lawyers who  
19 represent the named Plaintiffs and they pursue the case, and  
20 then we have to class certification and motion for class  
21 certification is filed and at that point, you know, I  
22 appoint -- assuming the motion for class certification is  
23 granted, I appoint, you know, the class counsel.

24 Why -- why do we need to do anything beforehand?

25 MR. SAVERI: Well, your Honor, the -- the

1 application of interim lead counsel under 26(g) at this  
2 point in the proceedings is -- is not uncommon at all.

3 THE COURT: What's the -- why do we need it?  
4 Like, what's the benefit?

5 MR. SAVERI: Well, I -- I think there are a number  
6 of reasons consistent with what the Rule contemplates and  
7 authorities like the Manual for Complex Litigation. It is  
8 important, I think, for the Court, the parties to have a  
9 sole lead counsel or lead counsel appointed at this juncture  
10 for a number of reasons. I think it gives the Court the --  
11 the other side, one point of contact, someone who has  
12 authority to enter into agreement to -- to bind the  
13 Plaintiffs' group to efficiently --

14 THE COURT: What Plaintiffs' group are you  
15 referring to?

16 MR. SAVERI: Well, the -- the --

17 THE COURT: I mean, this isn't an MDL, right?

18 MR. SAVERI: It's -- it's not. But among other  
19 things, right, the -- the appointment of lead counsel in  
20 this situation provides for clarity with -- for the  
21 Defendants with -- regarding -- with whom they can deal,  
22 with whom they can enter into agreements.

23 THE COURT: I mean, you're all -- you're all here,  
24 right? I mean, that's -- that's true of every case, right?  
25 I mean, every case that's filed, you know, there's usually

1 more than one lawyer, and you got to figure out which lawyer  
2 you're dealing with. I mean, this is one case. It's now --  
3 we're contemplating that this is one case and there are,  
4 what, three lawyers on the screen representing the  
5 Plaintiffs in this one case? Why --

6 MR. SAVERI: Well, but --

7 THE COURT: Go ahead.

8 MR. SAVERI: Indeed, your Honor, there are a  
9 number of other lawyers in the case who are serving as  
10 Plaintiffs' counsel. One of the things that is ensured by  
11 the appointment of lead counsel is the efficiency of the  
12 litigation on behalf of the Plaintiffs. Among other things,  
13 it allows to -- us to ensure that there won't be duplication  
14 of effort, that we will allocate work efficiently. We can  
15 divide work as opposed to multiplying work, and those are  
16 all the traditional reasons.

17 THE COURT: But it sounds like you all have an  
18 agreement amongst yourselves about who lead counsel is and  
19 that the -- the lawyers who moved for appointment as interim  
20 lead counsel are, in fact, lead counsel in this case. And,  
21 so, why is there going to be any confusion about that  
22 amongst the handful of lawyers representing the Plaintiffs?

23 MR. SAVERI: Well, at this point, we -- we do have  
24 an agreement, and we've worked very hard to it. It's just I  
25 think the ordinary and I think the -- the recommended course

1 in a situation like this that that private ordering of the  
2 Plaintiffs' counsel --

3 THE COURT: Is the real reason that people  
4 sometimes file these motions to -- is the -- is the real  
5 reason that you want to try to sort of discourage other  
6 lawyers and other Plaintiffs from coming in?

7 MR. SAVERI: No. I -- well --

8 THE COURT: Filing copycat lawsuits or something  
9 like that?

10 MR. SAVERI: I -- you know, your Honor, maybe  
11 perhaps maybe in some cases. I don't think --

12 THE COURT: Because I haven't -- I just don't see  
13 any -- you know, from the standpoint of my ability to manage  
14 this particular case, I -- I just -- nothing you've said so  
15 far has -- has been very compelling. It's -- you know,  
16 there's -- this case seems no different from any other class  
17 action, the vast majority of which nobody ever files a  
18 motion to be appointed interim lead counsel. And, so, I'm  
19 just trying to -- is it a protective measure to try to  
20 establish your territory and prevent or sort of discourage  
21 other people from filing similar lawsuits? I just -- I  
22 don't -- I guess I don't understand.

23 MR. SAVERI: And, your Honor, we don't -- the  
24 point of this exercise, one, isn't -- isn't necessarily or  
25 intended to discourage others. It's more about organizing

1 ourselves, and the -- the other thing I would say, Judge, is  
2 certainly in my experience, these sorts of motions at this  
3 juncture are -- are -- are commonplace for the reasons that  
4 we've -- we've been talking about. And it's not just  
5 limited to multi-district litigation. There's plenty of  
6 cases in this District which are complex cases, multiparty  
7 cases, multi-plaintiff cases, class action cases, which  
8 benefit from this kind of private ordinary structure at the  
9 beginning of the case, because it really sets the parameters  
10 at the very outset of the case for the efficient conduct of  
11 the case.

12 And, certainly, your Honor could in some ways do this  
13 and expect this without the order. But by the same token,  
14 the Rules set this up, and they do it for a reason. It's --  
15 look. If -- if -- if six months from now there are a bunch  
16 of copycat bases, we would -- I guess we would deal with it,  
17 but that's -- your Honor, that's not the reason we're doing  
18 it now. It's because we -- we think, the Plaintiffs think  
19 that this kind of private ordering and -- and having clear  
20 rules about who's in charge, just like in any organization,  
21 who's in charge, who has authority, are important for the  
22 efficient conduct of the -- of the organization and the  
23 group.

24 And, certainly, there are other ways of doing it  
25 without it, but --

1                   THE COURT: Yes. I mean, I -- normally the way a  
2 group of lawyers does that is they figure it out themselves.  
3 So, I'm just --

4                   MR. SAVERI: And -- and we have, your Honor. And  
5 this is a reflection of the private ordering of this group.  
6 And, to our credit, as new cases have been filed, we've been  
7 able to -- to incorporate and -- and bring the -- the new  
8 complaints along as part of it.

9                   Having that structure in place certainly if there were  
10 other cases, we would say it makes sense. But at least for  
11 now, for this group, we think it's important that we have a  
12 structure in place with a clear leader who has the authority  
13 consistent with the Rule to run and manage the case, who'd  
14 be responsible to you, your Honor, the Court, and to provide  
15 a point of contact to the other side.

16                  THE COURT: Okay. I'll get --

17                  MR. O'MARA (via Zoom): Your Honor?

18                  THE COURT: Yes.

19                  MR. O'MARA: This is Brian O'Mara. I apologize  
20 for not introducing myself earlier. I had some -- some  
21 audio issues. But my name is Brian O'Mara. I'm California  
22 counsel for DiCello Levitt. And if I can just kind of put  
23 in a point or two just from a different perspective. And  
24 you're right. We've -- we've kind of worked out how we're  
25 going to operate on our side internally as -- as Plaintiffs.

1 But from -- from your perspective and the perspective of --  
2 of opposing counsel, it -- it may be helpful, and maybe you  
3 just -- you know, you point to Joe and say, You're the guy  
4 or somebody else, but from your perspective, to say who is  
5 authorized to speak on behalf of this putative class, who is  
6 authorized to file on behalf of the putative class, so that  
7 there is some sort of --

8 THE COURT: Well, you're only filing stuff on  
9 behalf of the named Plaintiffs until there's -- a class is  
10 certified. So --

11 MR. O'MARA: Right. And that's right. And --

12 THE COURT: You're only speaking on behalf of the  
13 putative class until the -- until the class is certified,  
14 right?

15 MR. O'MARA: Well, the proposed class, I guess  
16 however we want to define it, until we get to that class  
17 certification stage.

18 And then, you know, it's --

19 THE COURT: Yeah, but you don't -- I mean, that's  
20 the whole point. Until the class is certified, you're not  
21 speaking for anybody except for the named Plaintiffs, and --

22 THE COURT: That's right, your Honor. That's  
23 right.

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 MR. O'MARA: I probably misspoke there. But --

1 and then as far as the, you know, other cases being filed,  
2 all of that ends up getting taken care of pretty quickly by  
3 just bringing -- bringing those cases to your attention.  
4 Then any case that's subsequently filed can be, you know,  
5 related to these ones and brought to your Honor's attention  
6 to determine whether it's related and -- and potentially  
7 consolidate it with the other cases. But it -- it does --  
8 you know, from my perspective, and I don't -- I wasn't  
9 involved in that -- in the discussions, but I think it does  
10 help to have some sort of -- you know, even if it's not a  
11 formal appointment, to have somebody who is kind of  
12 designated to speak on behalf of the Plaintiffs' side in  
13 this case.

14 THE COURT: I agree that it has -- it's helpful to  
15 have somebody designated to speak on behalf of the  
16 Plaintiffs' side. That happens in every case.

17 MR. O'MARA: That's right.

18 THE COURT: Regardless of whether there's been an  
19 appointment of interim lead counsel.

20 Okay. I'll give it further thought and --

21 MR. SAVERI: Your Honor -- your Honor, may I --  
22 may I just say one thing? You -- you noted, I think, that  
23 one of the things that you were considering raising was the  
24 -- the initial rounds of motions to dismiss the pleadings.  
25 And what I would say, your Honor, is the fact that claims

1 were asserted and the Court found them insufficient isn't  
2 necessarily, it seems to me, a reason to not appoint  
3 someone. Indeed, I think taking the Court's reactions and  
4 -- to heart and streamlining the case I think is a virtue,  
5 not a vice.

6 THE COURT: Yes.

7 MR. SAVERI: And, you know, I think that we've --  
8 we've put this case on a path to address core issues quite  
9 quickly. And to me it's confirmation of the value of  
10 private ordering and what we've been able to do here. It  
11 would be quite valuable I think to the case and to  
12 Plaintiffs' counsel to have the order that we've applied for  
13 to be entered.

14 THE COURT: Okay. I'll consider it further, and  
15 then I assume, Mr. Ghajar, you don't have anything to say  
16 about this?

17 MR. GHAJAR: No. This is a -- this is a  
18 discussion among Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' counsel,  
19 although, having heard your reaction, there's some wisdom to  
20 that, but I defer to the Plaintiffs and your Honor --

21 THE COURT: Okay.

22 MR. GHAJAR: -- on this issue.

23 THE COURT: Thank you.

24 MR. GHAJAR: One issue. Just so that we're okay,  
25 your Honor, would you -- I think as a matter of precaution,

1 although you're the ultimate arbiter on this, we do have a  
2 deadline Monday. It sounds like it's going to be a moot  
3 deadline because of what we heard today, but nothing's been  
4 filed. Can I have everybody's assurances that we need not  
5 file a response to the Huckabee complaint on Monday?

6 THE COURT: Yes.

7 MR. SAVERI: Absolutely. We're not --

8 THE COURT: You have my assurance.

9 MR. SAVERI: And we're not --

10 MR. GHAJAR: That's all I need.

11 MR. SAVERI: Mr. Ghajar, we're -- we'll work this  
12 out. We're not going to be taking a default or -- or  
13 anything like that. And, so, I'm -- I'm confident -- well,  
14 I'm certainly optimistic and confident we're going to be  
15 able to work this out.

16 MR. GHAJAR: I -- I heard from your Honor. That's  
17 what I needed to hear. And thank you, Mr. Saveri, for the  
18 additional assurance.

19 THE COURT: Okay. Great. Anything else we can do  
20 for you right now?

21 MR. GHAJAR: That's it for today.

22 MR. SAVERI: Thank you, your Honor.

23 THE COURT: Okay. Thanks.

24 ALL: Thank you, your Honor.

25 (Proceedings adjourned at 2:09 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

3 I certify that the foregoing is a true and correct  
4 transcript, to the best of my ability, of the above pages of  
5 the official electronic sound recording provided to me by  
6 the U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, of  
7 the proceedings taken on the date and time previously stated  
8 in the above matter.

9 I further certify that I am neither counsel for,  
10 related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action  
11 in which this hearing was taken; and, further, that I am not  
12 financially nor otherwise interested in the outcome of the  
13 action.

Taajangiee

17 Echo Reporting, Inc., Transcriber

Monday, February 12, 2024